# EXHIBIT "A"

I Filed 00/31

FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

COSCILLATION CONTROL OF CONTROL OF COURT OF CONTROL OF

JUN 04 2018

Sherri is. Garter, Executive Officer/Clerk By: Rifa Nazaryan, Deputy

#### SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

Saucey, Inc.

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

Mervyn Cole, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. IAVISOI Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is:

(El nombre y dirección de la corte es): Los Angeles Superior Court

Stanley Mosk Courthouse,

312 N. Spring St. Los Angeles, CA 90012

CASE NUMBER: (Número del Caso SC 17 0 78 9 5

DITA NAZARYAN

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es): Shannon Liss-Riordan, 729 Boylston Street, Suite 2000, Boston, MA 02116

DATE: 06/042018	Clerk, by	1711/14/14/16	, Deputy
(Fecha) JIIN 0 4	2018 SHERRI R. CARTER (Secretario)		(Adjunto
(For proof of service of this su	immons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).	)	
(Para prueba de entrega de e	sta citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons,	(POS-010)).	
[SEAL]	NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served  1. as an individual defendant.	(anacitul)	
	2. as the person sued under the fictitious name of  3. on behalf of (specify): Saucey, Inc.	(specify).	
	under: CCP 416.10 (corporation)  CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation)  CCP 416.40 (association or partnershi	CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416.70 (conservate CCP 416.90 (authorized	,
	other (specify):  4 by personal delivery on (date):		

Ca	ase 2:18-cv-07655-DSF-JEM Document 1	1-1 ::8	Filed 08/31/18	Page 3 of 17	Page ID
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	SHANNON LISS-RIORDAN (SBN 310719) (sliss@llrlaw.com) LICHTEN & LISS-RIORDAN, P.C. 729 Boylston Street, Suite 2000 Boston, MA 02116 Telephone: (617) 994-5800 Facsimile: (617) 994-5801  SUPERIOR COURT OF THE			OVIETNAL FE Superior Gourt at 1) Company of 1 - 120 JUN 04 201 TO B. Lark a leader of By: Mia Nazarvan, 0	Siloun 8
11	FOR THE COUNTY				
12	FOR THE COUNTY	r Or	LUS ANGELES		
13		Ca	se No. <b>BC</b> 70	7895	
14	MERVYN COLE, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,				
15	Plaintiff,	CI	ASS ACTION CO	MPLAINT	
16		1.	FAILURE TO RE		
17	V.		BUSINESS EXPE	ENSES (CAL. LAE AGE ORDER 9)	,
18	SAUCEY INC.,	2.	MINIMUM WAG	E (CAL. LABÓR 197, WAGE ORDI	ER O
19	Defendant.		et seq.)		
20				54, WAGE ORDE	• •
21		4.	WILLFUL MISCI (CAL, LABOR CO	LASSIFICATION ODE § 226.8, WAG	GE
22		5	ORDER 9) UNLAWFUL AN	,	
23		٥.	BUSINESS PRAC	CTICES (CAL. BU	S. &
24			PROF. CODE §§1		ei
25				BY FA)	
26					
27		1			
8	CLASS ACTIO	N CO	MPLAINT		
					The state of the s

#### I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

- 1. This case is brought on behalf of individuals who have worked as Saucey delivery drivers in California. Saucey Inc. ("Saucey") provides on-demand alcohol delivery to customers through its mobile phone application and website. Saucey is based in Los Angeles, California, but it does business extensively throughout California.
- 2. As described further below, Saucey has willfully misclassified its delivery drivers including Plaintiff Mervyn Cole, in violation of Cal. Labor Code § 226.8. Additionally, because of delivery drivers' misclassification as independent contractors, Saucey has unlawfully required delivery drivers to pay business expenses (such as for their gas, vehicle maintenance, and phone/data expenses) in violation of Cal. Lab. Code § 2802 and has also failed to pay required minimum wage for all hours worked in violation of Cal. Lab. Code §§ 1197 and 1194 and has failed to pay overtime in violation of Cal. Lab. Code §§ 1194, 1198, 510, 554. Saucey's practices also violate Wage Order 9, governing the transportation industry.

#### II. PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff Mervyn Cole is an adult resident of Los Angeles, California, where he has worked as a delivery driver for Saucey.
- 4. Plaintiff brings this action on his own behalf and on behalf of all others similarly situated, namely all other individuals who have worked as delivery drivers for Saucey throughout California.
- 5. Defendant Saucey, Inc. ("Saucey") is a Delaware corporation, headquartered in Los Angeles, California.

#### III. <u>JURISDICTION</u>

6. This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' claims pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 410.10. The monetary relief which Plaintiffs seek is in excess of the jurisdictional minimum required by this Court and will be established according to proof at trial.

7. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to Code of Civ. P. §§ 395 and 395.5 because Saucey is headquartered in Los Angeles County. Furthermore, Defendant engages in business activities in and throughout the State of California, including Los Angeles County.

#### IV. STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 8. Saucey is a Los Angeles-based alcohol delivery service, which engages delivery drivers across the state of California to deliver alcohol to its customers.
- 9. Saucey offers customers the ability to order alcohol and mixers through its mobile phone application or via its website, which Saucey delivery drivers then deliver to customers.
- 10. Saucey holds itself out to the public as an alcohol delivery service. Its website homepage advertises "Alcohol Delivery" and offers its customers "30 Minute Alcohol Delivery. Spend Life with friends... not in liquor stores." Saucey's website also promotes the speed of its deliveries and the ability of customers to track their delivery right to their doorstep.
- 11. Plaintiff Mervyn Cole has driven for Saucey from April 2016 until November 2017.
- 12. Saucey classifies its delivery drivers like Mr. Cole as "independent contractors," but under California law, they should be classified as employees.
- 13. Saucey delivery drivers perform services within Saucey's usual course of business as an alcohol delivery service. The delivery drivers' services are fully integrated into Saucey's business. Without delivery drivers to perform deliveries, Saucey would not exist.
- 14. Saucey delivery drivers are not typically engaged in their own delivery business. When delivering items for Saucey customers, they wear the "hat" of Saucey. Saucey customers cannot request a particular delivery driver for their orders.
- 15. In addition, Saucey maintains the right of control over the delivery drivers' performance of their jobs and exercises detailed control over them.

- 16. For example, Saucey unilaterally sets the pay scheme and rate of pay for delivery drivers' services and changes the rate of pay in its sole discretion.
- 17. Saucey dispatchers communicate frequently with drivers, assigning orders, checking on order status, and instructing drivers on how to handle any issues that arise during a delivery.
- 18. Saucey communicates directly with customers and follows up with delivery drivers if the customer complains that the delivery failed to meet their expectations. Saucey also solicits customer ratings out of five stars and based on customer feedback, Saucey may suspend or terminate delivery drivers in its discretion.
- 19. Saucey does not reimburse delivery drivers for any expenses they may incur while working for Saucey, including, but not limited to the cost of maintaining their vehicles, gas, insurance, and phone and data expenses for running the Saucey Application on their phones.

  Delivery drivers incurred these costs as a necessary expenditure to obtain employment with Saucey, which California law requires employers to reimburse.
- 20. Saucey has unilaterally controlled the pay scheme for drivers and has paid delivery drivers a fee per delivery plus a fee per item delivered. Saucey has failed to ensure that its delivery drivers receive the applicable state minimum wage for all hours worked, and delivery drivers sometimes do not average minimum wage for all hours worked, particularly when their expenses are taken into account and given that customers' tips cannot count toward Saucey's minimum wage obligations.
- 21. On April 30, 2018, the California Supreme Court issued its decision in <u>Dynamex</u> Operations W., Inc. v. Superior Court, 4 Cal. 5th 903, 416 P.3d 1 (2018), which makes clear that Saucey delivery drivers should be classified as employees rather than as independent contractors under California law for purposes of wage-and-hour statutes like the ones at issue here.

6 7

8

9 10

12 13

11

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28

Notwithstanding this decision, Saucey has willfully continued to misclassify its delivery drivers as independent contractors.

#### **CLASS ALLEGATIONS** V.

- Plaintiff Mervyn Cole brings this case as a class action pursuant to California 22. Code of Civil Procedure § 382 on behalf of all Saucey delivery drivers who have worked for Saucey in California.
- 23. Plaintiff and other class members have uniformly been deprived reimbursement of their necessary business expenditures.
- The members of the class are so numerous that joinder of all class members is 24. impracticable.
- 25. Common questions of law and fact regarding Saucey's conduct in classifying delivery drivers as independent contractors, failing to reimburse them for business expenditures, and failing to ensure they are paid at least minimum wage and overtime, exist as to all members of the class and predominate over any questions affecting solely any individual members of the class. Among the questions of law and fact common to the class are:
  - a. Whether the work performed by class members—providing delivery driver service to customers—is within Saucey's usual course of business, and whether such service is fully integrated into Saucey's business;
  - b. Whether class members are typically engaged in their own delivery businesses or whether they wear the "hat" of Saucey when performing delivery services;
  - c. Whether class members have been required to follow uniform procedures and policies regarding their work for Saucey;
  - d. Whether class members have been required to bear the expenses of their employment, such as expenses for maintaining their vehicles and expenses for gas, insurance, phone and data plan.

- 1 2
- 4

6 7

5

- 8 9
- 10 11
- 12 13
- 14 15
- 16 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21 22
- 23 24
- 25 26
- 27
- 28

- 26. Named plaintiff Mervyn Cole is a class member who suffered damages as a result of Defendant's conduct and actions alleged herein.
- The named plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the class, and the named 27. plaintiff has the same interests as the other members of the class.
- 28. The named plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the class. The named plaintiff has retained able counsel experienced in class action litigation. The interests of the named plaintiff are coincident with, and not antagonistic to, the interests of the other class members.
- 29. The questions of law and fact common to the members of the class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members, including legal and factual issues relating to liability and damages.
- A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient 30. adjudication of this controversy because joinder of all class members is impractical. Moreover, since the damages suffered by individual members of the class may be relatively small, the expense and burden of individual litigation makes it practically impossible for the members of the class individually to redress the wrongs done to them. The class is readily definable and prosecution of this action as a class action will eliminate the possibility of repetitive litigation. There will be no difficulty in the management of this action as a class action.

#### **COUNT I**

#### Expense Reimbursement Violation of Cal. Lab. Code § 2802, Wage Order 9

31. Defendant's conduct, as set forth above, in misclassifying Saucey delivery drivers as independent contractors, and failing to reimburse them for expenses they paid that should have been borne by their employer, constitutes a violation of California Labor Code Section

2802. This claim is brought on behalf of a class of similarly situated individuals who have worked for Saucey in California.

#### **COUNT II**

#### Willful Misclassification

#### Violation of Cal. Lab. Code § 226.8, Wage Order 9

32. Defendant's conduct, as set forth above, in continuing to classify delivery drivers as independent contractors notwithstanding the California Supreme Court's decision in <a href="Dynamex Operations W., Inc. v. Superior Court">Dynamex Operations W., Inc. v. Superior Court</a>, No. S222732, 2018 WL 1999120 (Cal. Apr. 30, 2018), which makes clear that delivery drivers are employees under California law, violates Cal. Lab. Code § 226.8 and constitutes willful misclassification. This claim is brought on behalf of a class of similarly situated individuals who have worked for Saucey in California.

#### COUNT III

#### Minimum Wage

Violation of Cal. Lab. Code §§ 1197 and 1194, Wage Order 9; Los Angeles County Minimum Wage (Ord. 2015-0039, § 3, 2015); San Francisco Minimum Wage Ordinance

33. Defendant's conduct, as set forth above, in failing to pay its drivers minimum wage for all hours worked as required by California law, violates Cal. Lab. Code §§ 1197 and 1194 (as well as the higher minimum wage rates established by the Los Angeles County Minimum Wage Ordinance and San Francisco Minimum Wage Ordinance for those delivery drivers who performed work in these jurisdictions). This claim is brought on behalf of a class of similarly situated individuals who worked for Saucey in California.

#### 2 3

4 5

6 7

8 9

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28 **COUNT IV** 

#### **Overtime**

#### Violation of Cal. Lab. Code §§ 510, 554, 1194, 1198, Wage Order 9

34. Defendant's conduct, as set forth above, in failing to pay its drivers overtime for all hours worked beyond forty per week or eight per day, as required by California law, violates Cal. Lab. Code §§ 1194, 1198, 510 and 554. This claim is brought on behalf of a class of similarly situated individuals who worked for Saucey in California.

#### **COUNT V**

#### **Unfair Business Practices**

Violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §17200, et seq.

35. Defendant's conduct, as set forth above, violates the California Unfair Competition Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 et seq. ("UCL"). Defendant's conduct constitutes unlawful business acts or practices, in that Defendant has violated California Labor Code §§ 2802, 1194, 1197, and 226.8. As a result of Defendant's unlawful conduct, Plaintiffs and class members suffered injury in fact and lost money and property, including, but not limited to business expenses that delivery drivers were required to pay and wages that delivery drivers were due. Pursuant to California Business and Professions Code § 17203, Plaintiff and class members seek declaratory and injunctive relief for Defendant's unlawful conduct and to recover restitution. Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5, Plaintiff and class members who worked for Saucey are entitled to recover reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses incurred in bringing this action.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests that this Court enter the following relief:

- a. Declare and find that the Defendant violated the UCL and Cal. Lab. Code §§ 2802, 1194, 1197, 1198, 510, 554 and 226.8;
- b. Certify a class action under Count I through V and appoint Plaintiff Mervyn Cole and his counsel to represent a class of Saucey delivery drivers who have worked in

	11	
1		California;
2	c.	Award compensatory damages, including all expenses and wages owed, in an amount
3		according to proof;
4	d.	Award pre- and post-judgment interest;
5	e.	Award reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses;
6	f.	Issue a public injunction in the form of an order requiring Defendant to comply with
7		the California Labor Code; and
8	g a	Any other relief to which Plaintiff and the class may be entitled.
9	g.	Any other rener to which I familia and the class may be entitled.
10		Dogo octfylly, sylmitted
11		Respectfully submitted,
12		MERVYN COLE, on behalf of himself and all
13		others similarly situated,
14		By his attorneys,
15		
16		Umedess-Rohn
17		Shannon Liss-Riordan, SBN 310719 LICHTEN & LISS-RIORDAN, P.C.
18		729 Boylston Street, Suite 2000
19		Boston, MA 02116 (617) 994-5800
20		Email: sliss@llrlaw.com
21		
22		
23	Dated:	June 4, 2018
l		
25 26		
27		
		0

#### Case 2:18-cv-07655-DSF-JEM Document 1-1

# ID #:17

Filed 08/31/18 Page 12 of 17 Page

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name . 3r number, and address):  Shannon Liss-Riordan (SBN 310719)  FOR COURT USE ONLY	
Lichten & Liss-Riordan, P.C. 729 Boylston Street, Suite 2000	
Boston, MA 02116 TELEPHONE NO.: 617-994-5800 ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff  TELEPHONE NO.: 617-994-5801  FAX NO.: 617-994-5801  Suppose Court of Cilibration	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Los Angeles	
STREET ADDRESS: 312 N. Spring St.  MANUNC APPRESS:  JUN 0 4 2018	
MAILING ADDRESS:  CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles, CA 90012	
BRANCH NAME: Stanley Mosk Courthouse Sherri II, Carler, i.veenfive Office	ni listark
CASE NAME: By: Rita Mazaryan, Dopot	
Cole v. Saucey, Inc.	
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET Complex Case Designation	
Unlimited Limited Counter Joinder BC707895	
demanded demanded is Filed with first appearance by defendant	
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less) (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402) DEPT:  Items 1–6 below must be completed (see instructions on page 2).	
1. Check one box below for the case type that best describes this case:	
Auto Tort Contract Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation	
Auto (22)  Breach of contract/warranty (06) (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403)	
Uninsured motorist (46)  Rule 3.740 collections (09)  Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)	
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Other collections (09) Construction defect (10)  Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort Insurance coverage (18) Mass tort (40)	W 1944 18
Damage/Wrongful Death) Fort Insurance coverage (18) Mass tort (40)  Asbestos (04) Other contract (37) Securities litigation (28)	The
Product liability (24)  Real Property  Environmental/Toxic tort (30)	
Medical malpractice (45)  Eminent domain/Inverse  Insurance coverage claims arising fr	om the
V == 64 suisition (00) types (41)	ase
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort  Other real property (26)  Enforcement of Judgment	
Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Civil rights (08) Unlawful Detainer Enforcement of judgment (20)	
Defamation (13)  Commercial (31)  Miscellaneous Civil Complaint	
Fraud (16) Residential (32) RICO (27)	
Intellectual property (19) Drugs (38) Other complaint (not specified above	<i>⇒)</i> (42)
Professional negligence (25)  Judicial Review  Miscellaneous Civil Petition	
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) Asset forfeiture (05) Partnership and corporate governan Petition re: arbitration award (11) Other potition (not specified above)	i
Employment Petition re: arbitration award (11) Other petition (not specified above) ( Writ of mandate (02)	(43)
✓ Other employment (15) Other judicial review (39)	
2. This case 🗸 is Lis not complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court. If the case is complex, m	ark the
factors requiring exceptional judicial management:	
a. Large number of separately represented parties d. Large number of witnesses	moro courte
b Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel e Coordination with related actions pending in one or issues that will be time-consuming to resolve in other counties, states, or countries, or in a federa	
c. Substantial amount of documentary evidence f. Substantial postjudgment judicial supervision	
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a. 🗸 monetary b. 🗸 nonmonetary; declaratory or injunctive relief c.	punitive
4. Number of causes of action (specify): Cal Lab. Code Sections 2802, 1194, 1197, 1198, 510, 554, 226.8, U	
5. This case is is not a class action suit.	
6. If there are any known related cases, file and serve a notice of related case. (You may use form CM-015.)	Λ
Date: 06/04/2018	//
Shannon Liss-Riordan	1
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME) (SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)  NOTICE	
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases)	filed
under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file in sanctions.	may result
File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule.	
• If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover shee other parties to the action or proceeding.	t on all
<ul> <li>Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes</li> </ul>	only. Page 1 of 2
Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California CM-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007]  Cal. Rules of Court, rules 2.30, 3.220, 3.4 Cal. Standards of Judicial Adminis	00-3.403, 3.740;

Cole, at el. v. Saucey Inc.

# CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION (CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)

This form is required pursuant to Local Rule 2.3 in all new civil case filings in the Los Angeles Superior Court.

- **Step 1:** After completing the Civil Case Cover Sheet (Judicial Council form CM-010), find the exact case type in Column A that corresponds to the case type Indicated in the Civil Case Cover Sheet.
- Step 2: In Column B, check the box for the type of action that best describes the nature of the case.
- **Step 3:** In Column C, circle the number which explains the reason for the court filing location you have chosen.

#### Applicable Reasons for Choosing Court Filing Location (Column C)

- 1. Class actions must be filed in the Stanley Mosk Courthouse, Central District.
- 2. Permissive filing in central district.
- 3. Location where cause of action arose.
- 4. Mandatory personal Injury filing in North District.
- 5. Location where performance required or defendant resides.
- 6. Location of property or permanently garaged vehicle.

- 7. Location where petitioner resides.
- 8. Location wherein defendant/respondent functions wholly.
- 9. Location where one or more of the parties reside.
- 10. Location of Labor Commissioner Office.
- 11. Mandatory filing location (Hub Cases unlawful detainer, limited non-collection, limited collection, or personal injury).

BY FAX

Auto Tort

Other Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort

A Civil Case Cover Sheet Gategory No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	<b>C</b> Applicable Reasons See Step 3 Above
Auto (22)	☐ A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1, 4, 11
Uninsured Motorist (46)	☐ A7110 Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death — Uninsured Motorist	1, 4, 11
Asbestos (04)	□ A6070 Asbestos Property Damage □ A7221 Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death	1, 11 1, 11
Product Liability (24)	☐ A7260 Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental)	1, 4, 11
Medical Malpractice (45)	☐ A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons ☐ A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice	1, 4, 11 1, 4, 11
Other Personal Injury Property Damage Wrongful Death (23)	<ul> <li>□ A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall)</li> <li>□ A7230 Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, vandalism, etc.)</li> <li>□ A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress</li> <li>□ A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death</li> </ul>	1, 4, 11 1, 4, 11 1, 4, 11 1, 4, 11

CASE NUMBER SHORT TITLE: Cole, at el. v. Saucey Inc.

	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.		B Type of Action (Check only one)	<b>C</b> Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
	Business Tort (07)	□ A60	29 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract)	1, 2, 3
perty 1 Tort	Civil Rights (08)	□ A60	D5 Civil Rights/Discrimination	1, 2, 3
ry/ Pro I Deatt	Defamation (13)	□ A60	10 Defamation (slander/libel)	1, 2, 3
al Injul ongfu	Fraud (16)	□ A60	13 Fraud (no contract)	1, 2, 3
Non-Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort	Professional Negligence (25)		17 Legal Malpractice 50 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)	1, 2, 3 1, 2, 3
žÖ	Other (35)	□ A60	25 Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort	1, 2, 3
ent	Wrongful Termination (36)	□ A60	37 Wrongful Termination	1, 2, 3
Employment	Other Employment (15)		24 Other Employment Complaint Case 09 Labor Commissioner Appeals	1, 2, 3 10
	Breach of Contract/ Warranty (06) (not insurance)	□ A60	04 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction) 08 Contract/Warranty Breach -Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence) 19 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud) 28 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence)	2, 5 2, 5 1, 2, 5 1, 2, 5
Contract	Collections (09)	□ A60	02 Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff 12 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case 134 Collections Case-Purchased Debt (Charged Off Consumer Debt Purchased on or after January 1, 2014)	5, 6, 11 5, 11 5, 6, 11
	Insurance Coverage (18)	□ A60	15 Insurance Coverage (not complex)	1, 2, 5, 8
	Other Contract (37)	□ A60	09 Contractual Fraud 31 Tortious Interference 27 Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence)	1, 2, 3, 5 1, 2, 3, 5 1, 2, 3, 8, 9
	Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)	□ A73	00 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels	2, 6
operty	Wrongful Eviction (33)	□ A60	23 Wrongful Eviction Case	2, 6
Real Property	Other Real Property (26)	□ A60	18 Mortgage Foreclosure 32 Quiet Title 60 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure)	2, 6 2, 6 2, 6
ŭ	Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (31)	□ A60	21 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	6, 11
Unlawful Detainer	Unlawful Detainer-Residential (32)	□ A60	20 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	6, 11
awful l	Unlawful Detainer- Post-Foreclosure (34)	□ A60	20F Unlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure	2, 6, 11
Unlawful Detainer-Drugs (38)		□ A60	22 Unlawful Detainer-Drugs	2, 6, 11

LACIV 109 (Rev 2/16) LASC Approved 03-04 Cole, at el. v. Saucey Inc.

	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.		Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
	Asset Forfeiture (05)	A6108	Asset Forfeiture Case	2, 3, 6
Me	Petition re Arbitration (11)	A6115	Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration	2, 5
Judicial Review	Writ of Mandate (02)	A6152	Writ - Administrative Mandamus Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review	2, 8 2 2
	Other Judicial Review (39)	A6150	Other Writ /Judicial Review	2, 8
u	Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	A6003	Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1, 2, 8
tigatic	Construction Defect (10)	A6007	Construction Defect .	1, 2, 3
plex Li	Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)	A6006	Claims Involving Mass Tort	1, 2, 8
у Сош	Securities Litigation (28)	A6035	Securities Litigation Case	1, 2, 8
Provisionally Complex Litigation	Toxic Tort Environmental (30)	A6036	Toxic Tort/Environmental	1, 2, 3, 8
Provi	Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	A6014	Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1, 2, 5, 8
Enforcement of Judgment	Enforcement of Judgment (20)	A6160 A6107 A6140 A6114	Sister State Judgment Abstract of Judgment Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations) Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax Other Enforcement of Judgment Case	2, 5, 11 2, 6 2, 9 2, 8 2, 8 2, 8, 9
to.	RICO (27)	A6033	Racketeering (RICO) Case	1, 2, 8
Miscelfaneous Civil Complaints	Other Complaints (Not Specified Above) (42)	A6040 A6011	Declaratory Relief Only Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment) Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex) Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)	1, 2, 8 2, 8 1, 2, 8 1, 2, 8
•	Partnership Corporation Governance (21)	A6113	Partnership and Corporate Governance Case	2, 8
Miscellaneous Civil Petitions	Other Petitions (Not Specified Above) (43)	A6123 A6124 A6190 A6110 A6170	Civil Harassment Workplace Harassment Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case Election Contest Petition for Change of Name/Change of Gender Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law Other Civil Petition	2, 3, 9 2, 3, 9 2, 3, 9 2 2, 7 2, 3, 8
		A6100	Other Civil Petition	2, 9

LACIV 109 (Rev 2/16) LASC Approved 03-04

SHORT TITLE: Cole, at el. v. Saucey Inc.	CASE NUMBER
į į	

**Step 4: Statement of Reason and Address:** Check the appropriate boxes for the numbers shown under Column C for the type of action that you have selected. Enter the address which is the basis for the filing location, including zip code. (No address required for class action cases).

REASON:			ADDRESS:
☑ 1. □ 2. □ 3. □ 4. □ 5. □ 6. □ 7. □	8. 🗆 9. 🗆	10. 🗆 11.	
CITY:	STATE:	ZIP CODE:	
Los Angeles	CA	90048	

Step 5: Certification of Assignment: I certify that this case is properly filed in the Stanley Mosk Courthouse District of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles [Code Civ. Proc., §392 et seq., and Local Rule 2.3(a)(1)(E)].

Dated:	6/4/2018	
Dawa.		

(SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY/FILING PARTY)

### PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:

- 1. Original Complaint or Petition.
- 2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
- 3. Civil Case Cover Sheet, Judicial Council form CM-010.
- 4. Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location form, LACIV 109, LASC Approved 03-04 (Rev. 02/16).
- 5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless there is court order for waiver, partial or scheduled payments.
- 6. A signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, Judicial Council form CIV-010, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age will be required by Court in order to issue a summons.
- Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.

POS-015

	1 00-013
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Shannon Liss-Riordan (310719)	
Lichten & Liss-Riordan, P.C.	
729 Boylston St. Suite 2000	
Boston, MA 02116	
TELEPHONE NO.: 617-994-5800 FAX NO. (Optional): 617-994-580	0
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): sliss@llrlaw.com, mfranco@llrlaw.com	
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiffs	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Los Angeles	
STREET ADDRESS: 312 N. Spring Street	
MAILING ADDRESS:	
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles, CA 90012	
BRANCH NAME: Stanley Mosk	
DIANTIE DETITIONED Marrie Colo et al	
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Mervyn Cole, et al.	
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Saucey, Inc.	CASE NUMBER:
NOTICE AND ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT—CIVII	
	BC/0/0/3
NOTICE	
The summons and other documents identified below are being served pursuan	nt to section 415.30 of the California Code of Civil
Procedure. Your failure to complete this form and return it within 20 days from	the date of mailing shown below may subject you
(or the party on whose behalf you are being served) to liability for the payment	of any expenses incurred in serving a summons
on you in any other manner permitted by law.	torary experiese meaner in corning a canimone
If you are being served on behalf of a corporation, an unincorporated associat	
form must be signed by you in the name of such entity or by a person authorizentity. In all other cases, this form must be signed by you personally or by a personal to be a per	arean authorized by you to acknowledge receipt of
summons. If you return this form to the sender, service of a summons is deem	
acknowledgment of receipt below.	iod complete on the day you digit the
Date of mailing: July 16, 2018	1
,	har is
Mary Franco	//Wythan
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(SIGNATURE OF SENDER-MUST NOT BE A PARTY IN THIS CASE)
A CAMONAL EDGINERAL OF DE	CEIDT
ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RE	CEIPI
This acknowledges receipt of (to be completed by sender before mailing):	
<ol> <li>A copy of the summons and of the complaint.</li> </ol>	
2. Other (specify):	
(may 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
(To be completed by recipient):	
Date this form is signed:	
•	
(TYPE OR PRINT YOUR NAME AND NAME OF ENTITY, IF ANY, (SIG	GNATURE OF PERSON ACKNOWLEDGING RECEIPT, WITH TITLE IF
(TYPE OR PRINT YOUR NAME AND NAME OF ENTITY, IF ANY, (SI ON WHOSE BEHALF THIS FORM IS SIGNED) ACKNO	GNATURE OF PERSON ACKNOWLEDGING RECEIPT, WITH TITLE IF WLEDGMENT IS MADE ON BEHALF OF ANOTHER PERSON OR ENTITY)